

JOINT USERS CONFERENCE



Joint Requirements Board

“Who are these people and what are they doing???”



JRB Members

AIR FORCE



Anita Hill

ahill@hq.dcmamil

Capt Fred Lacey

fred.lacey@wpafb.af.mil

ARMY



Kevin Doyle

kdoyle@hq.dcmamil

Nevia Cashwell

cashweln@sdcl.lee.army.mil

Carmen Jennings

cjennings@hq/amc.army.mil

NAVY/USMC



Larry Rosendorf

lrosendorf@hq.dcmamil

Sherry Hedding

shedding@hq.dcmamil

Jean Hildebrandt

jhildebrandt@hq.dcmamil

DFAS



Greg Plasters

gplasters@hq.dcmamil

DLA



Joe Ryder

jryder@hq.dcmamil

Kate Ehrle

katherine.ehrle@us.pwcglobal.com

DCMA



Dan Sharkey

dsharkey@dcmdw.dcmamil

Will Bishop

wbishop@hq.dcmamil

Jim Rardon

jrardon@hq.dcmamil

ODA




Lisa Boeckman

boeckmal@scott.disa.mil


James Sinkavitch

sinkavij@ncr.disa.mil

Joint Execution Structure



New Requirements Process



- Joint Issues go to the JROB as a Prioritized, with a recommended Release, Package. (Enhancements)

- Some Issues Entered in AMS Database.
- JRB has access to see all Issues.

- Issues Entered in Testing Database.
- JRB Reviews and Prioritizes Issues

Focus on User Satisfaction!

JOINT USERS CONFERENCE



- *Create and Receive Requirements from Users, Helpdesk and Govt Test Lab*
- *Evaluate **PURPLE** Requirements*
- *Prioritize **PURPLE** Requirements*

JOINT USERS CONFERENCE



Once under contract.....

- *Approve Functional Solutions*
- *Write and Approve Acceptance Criteria*
- *Write and Approve Test Scripts*

v4.2 RELEASE STRATEGY

4.2 RC02 Acceptance
Test Deficiencies

4.1X Unresolved
Deficiencies



JRB Enhancement
Requirements



v4.2 REQUIREMENTS

PRIORITY 1
PRIORITY 2

PRIORITY 3
PRIORITY 4
PRIORITY 5



V4.2 CORE

V4.2a

V4.2b

V4.2c

V4.2d


JOINT USERS CONFERENCE



- **Prioritizing the Issues**
 - How the JRB determines the priority
- **IEEE/EIA 12207**
 - Replaced Mil-Std 498
 - Tailored for PD2

IEEE/EIA 12207 Standard Priority 1 Guidelines

- a. Prevent the accomplishment of an essential capability
- b. Jeopardize safety, security or other requirement designated “**critical**”



PD2 Specific Priority 1 Guidelines

- SITE DOWN due to the APPLICATION



- Results in inconsistent EDI/EDA/Printed version of a document



- Impacts financial data integrity

- Impacts legal/regulatory integrity of contract




- PMO-responsible interface is inoperable

IEEE/EIA 12207 Priority 2 Guidelines

a. Adversely affect the accomplishment of an essential capability and no work-around solution is known

b. Adversely affect technical, cost, or schedule risks to the project or to life cycle support of the system, and no work-around solution is known




PD2 Specific Priority 2 Guidelines

- Cannot perform a req function in PD2. Must be performed outside the system with *significant* impact on user efficiency.

- No acceptable work-around is available

- Persistent Application problem resulting in extreme user frustration



- Application shortfall impacts a sites' ability to successfully deploy



- Inability to process one or more types of contract actions




IEEE/EIA 12207 Priority 3 Guidelines

- a. Adversely affect the accomplishment of an operational or mission essential capability
- b. Adversely affect the technical, cost, or schedule risks to the project or to life cycle support of the system, but a work-around is known



PD2 Specific Priority 3 Guidelines

- Workaround solution (within PD2) is complicated and adversely affects the users' productivity
- Cannot perform a function in PD2; however it can be performed outside the system with *little* impact



IEEE/EIA 12207 Priority 4 Guidelines

- a. Result in user/operator inconvenience or annoyance but does not affect a required operational or mission essential capability
- b. Results in an inconvenience or annoyance for development or maintenance personnel, but does not prevent the accomplishment of the responsibilities of those personnel

PD2 Specific Priority 4 Guidelines




A workaround is available to correct has little impact on productivity

IEEE/EIA 12207 Priority 5 Guidelines


- Any other effect

PD2 Specific Priority 5 Guidelines



Band-Aid Fixes:


- Minor Issue – users can live with the defect
- Spelling error/typo
- GUI design improvement



JOINT USERS CONFERENCE



What's new in v4.2?



Highlights - v4.2

Allows PK/PZ Mods	EDI 567 Close-Out Process	Assignment of PCO/ACO Codes
PIIN Ranges	Allows Modification of Contract Type at Line Item Level	
Unlimited/Enhanced CLIN Template Capability	Outbound/Inbound 850/860 Transactions	
Foreign Address Templates		

View SPIIN prior to release; SPIIN assigned at Release

Commitment Identification Number

Highlights - v4.2

PR Generation/Addition of Clauses Tab to PR		PR to Modification CLIN Linking
Multiple Delivery Dates/Ship-to Address	NSN Auto Population of Data	CLIN Level Clause Matching
Delivery Order/Task Order Constraints at Line Item Level		Segmented Lines of Accounting/Improved Search Capability
Telecommunication Services Agreement (TSA) Capability		Allows Agreements/BOAs/MARAVs With or Without Line Items, Unit Prices or Quantities
		Change PIIN Prior to Release

JOINT USERS CONFERENCE



Any questions, partners?

